

Evaluation Grid

Section	Maximum Score
<b>Administrative eligibility check</b>	
- <b>Legally registered</b> (certificate of registration, Association's statute)	
<b>1. Relevance of the action</b>	<b>20</b>
1.1. How relevant is the proposal to the objectives and priorities of the call for proposals?	6
1.2. How relevant is the proposal to the particular needs and constraints of the target country(ies), region(s)?	6
1.3. How clearly defined and strategically chosen are those involved (final beneficiaries, target groups)? Have their needs (as rights holders and/or duty bearers) and constraints been clearly defined?	6
1.4. Does the proposal contain particular added-value elements (e.g., innovation, best practices, synergy with other existing initiatives)?	2
<b>2. Design of the action</b>	<b>30</b>
2.1. Do the proposed actions respond to the analysis of the problems involved, and are they coherent with the capacities of the relevant stakeholders?	5x2**
2.2. Do the proposed actions consider external factors (risks and assumptions)?	5
2.3. Are the activities feasible and consistent in relation to the time frame and the budget proposed?	5
2.4. Are the proposed actions coherent with the expected results?	5
2.5. Does the proposal integrate Human rights-based approach (HRBA)? target country/region)?	5
<b>Maximum total score</b>	<b>50</b>